EXHIBIT A

	Page 1
1	CASEY CUNNINGHAM
2	
3	
4	PLAINTIFF
5	
6	VS.
7	
8	CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ET AL
9	
10	
11	DEFENDANTS
12	
13	
1 4	DEPOSITION OF
15	
16	WENDY DOMINGUEZ
17	
18	
19	OCTOBER 16, 2018
2 0	
21	CONFIDENTIAL
22	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
	MID-ATLANTIC REGION
23	1250 Eye Street NW - Suite 350
2 4	Washington, D.C. 20005

Page 19 weeks because you were engaged in August; is that 1 2 right? 3 MR. APKING: Objection, misstates prior 4 testimony. 5 I'm not certain. 6 (By Mr. Netter) Sitting here today, you 7 don't recall doing any work on this prior to August 8 of 2018; is that right? 9 Not prior to being engaged. I'm assuming 10 I was engaged in August, I'm not certain. 11 Miss Dominguez, are you offering an opinion in this case on any recordkeeping issues? 12 13 Α No. 14 Are you offering an opinion on any legal 15 issues? 16 Α No, I'm not an attorney. 17 Can you direct your attention to paragraph 0 29 of your report. 18 19 In paragraph 29 you are explaining 20 what you mean when you use the term prudent 21 fiduciary, right? 22 Α Yes. 23 I see there are three footnotes in 24 paragraph 29. Am I right, is it your practice to

Dominguez deposition transcript page 27 filed under seal

	Page 28
1	plans?
2	A Yes, we do.
3	Q What other sort of plans?
4	A Defined benefit plans.
5	Q Do the types of services that you provide
6	to a defined benefit plan differ from the types of
7	services you provide to a defined contribution plan?
8	A Yes.
9	Q How do they differ?
10	A More focused on asset allocation, but for
11	some of our defined contribution plans we develop
12	models as well, so those would be an overlap.
13	Q For defined benefit plans in which you
14	have responsibility for asset allocation, does that
15	require more labor?
16	A Can you clarify?
17	Q Um, comparing plans were your
18	responsibility for actually managing the asset
19	allocations and plans where you are just advising on
20	menu construction.
21	Is more labor involved in managing
22	the asset allocation?
23	MR. APKING: Objection, vague.
24	A As I mentioned before, for some of our

Dominguez deposition transcript pages 36-37 filed under seal

Page 39 It wasn't recommended. 1 Α 2 Let me have you flip the page to page 0 3 eight. Page eight has a section entitled, Alternative Investments? 4 5 Α Uh-huh. 6 And the first sentence there says, 7 Innovest believes that risk based portfolios should 8 contain exposure to various asset class and clients 9 should consider including alternative investments. 10 For example, hedge funds and private equity in these 11 portfolios. 12 Now, alternative investments are not 13 one of the major asset classes, right? 14 Correct. 15 And would you agree that alternative 16 assets are typically understood to have greater risk 17 than the traditional asset classes? 18 Α No, different type of risks. 19 When you say different types of risk, what 20 do you mean? 21 Alternative investments typically have 22 uncorrelated risks to the other markets, there is 23 other issues like liquidity risk, manager specific 2.4 risk.

Page 40 Um, despite the fact that the risk may be 1 2 uncorrelated, the risk still has a magnitude, right? 3 MR. APKING: Object to the form. I don't understand what you mean. 4 Α 5 (By Mr. Netter) When you measure risk for 6 a fund, how do you typically do so? 7 There's a lot of different ways that you 8 can look at risk. Number of holdings, scope from 9 which they invest, quantitive measures, like beta. 10 And what risk measures does Innovest 11 typically use when it evaluates the risk of an 12 investment option? 13 All that I said. Α 14 So you, in order to ascertain the risk of 15 a particular fund, you would look at the number of holdings, the scope from which they invest, and the 16 17 quantity of measures? 18 Α Yes. 19 I'll have you turn to page ten. There's a 20 section on page ten called ongoing investment 21 monitoring. 22 Do you see that? 23 Α Yes. 24 And under the fourth paragraph, there's a Q

Page 41 bolded phrase performance measurement. 1 2 Do you see that? 3 Α Yes. And this says, the performance measurement 4 5 consist of evaluating a portfolios time weighted 6 rates of return, characteristics and sector 7 commitments. This information needs to be compared 8 to both market indices and managers of similar 9 styles. 10 Would you say that that excerpt 11 typifies the advice that Innovest provides on performance management, or performance measurement? 12 13 Α I'd like to read the whole section, 14 please. 15 Okay. I read the section. Can you 16 repeat your question, please? 17 (By Mr. Netter) Would you say that the 0 18 section under performance measurement typifies 19 Innovest's approach to performance measurement? 20 Α Yes, for active managers. 21 And let me direct your attention next to 22 page 11, the first sentence at the top of the page 23 says, while the quarterly performance review is 24 indeed important, what is paramount in our minds is

Page 42 the ongoing review of material qualitative factors. 1 2 Do you believe that to be true? 3 Α I believe they are both important, yes. Do you believe that the ongoing review of 4 5 qualitative factors is paramount? 6 Α Yes. 7 And after this section we just read, this 8 response identifies some of those qualitative 9 factors, right? 10 Yes, it includes some of those factors. 11 So do you typically review form EDV as 12 part of assessing the qualitative appropriateness of 13 an investment fund? 14 I do not, but my team does. 15 Would you or your team typically have 16 discussions with the managers about their 17 strategies? 18 Α Yes. 19 And what else, would you typically conduct 20 on-site due diligence visits or somebody on your 21 team? 22 Α Depends on the strategy. 23 What strategies would require on-site due 0 24 diligence?

	Page 43
1	A Alternative investments.
2	Q How do you define alternative investments?
3	A Those that have less correlated returns of
4	the markets usually are through an LP structure.
5	Available only to qualified investors.
6	Q So let me ask you to flip to the end of
7	this section. After the Innovest response, there
8	are some biographies and after that there is a
9	sample performance report.
10	A Okay.
11	Q Can you confirm for me this says sample
12	performance report?
13	A Yes, it does.
14	Q Thank you.
15	MR. APKING: Which?
16	MR. NETTER: There you are.
17	MR. APKING: Okay.
18	Q (By Mr. Netter) Now if you turn to page
19	11. The chart on page 11 appears to be similar to
20	the chart that we looked at before, right?
21	A Correct.
22	Q This is showing the various style boxes
23	that are filled on this sample menu?
24	A Yes.

Dominguez deposition transcript pages 44-46 filed under seal

Page 48 I guess I'm confused as to how you are 1 2 grouping your sentences together. 3 So can you, yes, revenue sharing differs by share classes. 4 5 Okay. So a share class that charges a 6 higher expense ratio might also provide additional 7 revenue sharing, right? 8 Α Yes. 9 And at Innovest when you assess the net 10 expense of an investment fund, you back out the 11 revenue sharing, right? 12 Α It depends on who receives the revenue 13 sharing. 14 If the revenue sharing is used to defray 15 plan expenses, then you would back out the revenue 16 sharing in assessing the net expense of the fund; is 17 that right? 18 Not necessarily. If it goes back directly 19 to the participant, then I think we would back it 20 out. 21 If the recordkeeping were separately 22 negotiated and the revenue sharing were offset 23 against that separately negotiated fee, would you 24 agree then that it would make sense to back out the

Page 50 You expect for this computation to address 1 2 any difference in the amount of revenue sharing 3 being rebated to plan participants? 4 It was, was it rebated to plan 5 participants or was it used to offset administrative 6 expenses? 7 My question is, would you expect for this 8 computation to address any difference in the amount 9 of revenue sharing that is rebated to plan 10 participants? 11 MR. APKING: Object to the form. 12 Α Can you the repeat that? 13 (By Mr. Netter) Sure. Should this 14 computation in paragraph 28 account for any 15 difference in the amount of revenue sharing that is 16 being rebated to plan participants? 17 Α Rebated to plan participants, yes, it 18 should include that. 19 Now in that paragraph when you reference 20 the TIAA-CREF mutual funds, which funds are you 21 talking about? 22 In paragraph 28? Α 23 0 Correct. 2.4 Α TIAA-CREF has a suite of proprietary

Page 52 And just to be precise, we are looking at 1 2 the TIAA-CREF Lifecycle Retirement Income Fund, 3 right? 4 Yes. Α So for this particular fund, the 5 6 retirement class has a total operating expense after 7 fee waiver and/or expense reimbursement of 63 basis 8 points; is that right? 9 Α Yes. 10 And the institutional class has the same 11 figure at 38 basis points; is that right? 12 Α Yes. So there's a difference in the expense 13 14 ratios of 25 basis points? 15 Α Yes. 16 Do you know whether, have you reviewed the 17 expert report of Al Otto? 18 Α Al Otto? 19 That's right? 0 20 Not that I recall. Α 21 Have you reviewed in this case any data 22 reflecting the amount of revenue sharing generated 23 by particular funds? 24 Α Yes.

Dominguez deposition transcript page 64 filed under seal

Dominguez deposition transcript pages 66-68 filed under seal

Dominguez deposition transcript pages 70-71 filed under seal

Page 91 You didn't do any qualitative review of 1 2 the Vanguard Growth Income K class, did you? 3 Α The Vanguard Growth K class? 4 0 Right. MR. APKING: You mean Fidelity? 5 6 (By Mr. Netter) I'm sorry, the Fidelity 7 Growth K class. 8 No, I did not. I just looked at this Α 9 chart. 10 I want to turn to paragraph 86 of your 11 opening report. 12 This is a chart of the acceptable 13 menu that you say should have been implemented as of 14 August of 2010; is that right? 15 It is an acceptable menu. It is 16 reasonable that it would be created then. 17 0 How did you put together this menu? 18 I looked at what the CAPTRUST 19 recommendation was in 2014 and evaluated each of 20 those investments and determined whether or not it 21 would have been reasonable to select them back in 22 2010. 23 Okay. So you didn't start with a universe 2.4 of funds to consider, right?

Page 92 1 Α No. 2 You started with 2014 recommendation, 0 3 right? 4 Α Yes. And I believe you just testified that 5 6 customarily when you are selecting a new menu, you 7 would only look at funds that had performed well in 8 recent years, right? 9 If you are selecting a new menu, you would 10 throw out funds that had performance issues. 11 Okay. So the funds that were selected by 12 CAPTRUST in 2014 were selected based in part on 13 their performance from 2010 until 2014, right? 14 MR. APKING: Object to the form. 15 Α I'm assuming so. 16 0 (By Mr. Netter) So you then are 17 necessarily excluding from consideration for your 18 2010 menu any investments that proved not to perform 19 well from 2010 to 2014, right? 20 MR. APKING: Object to the form. 21 Can you say that again? Α 22 (By Mr. Netter) Let's take a step back. 0 23 So you just said that the fund that were selected by 24 CAPTRUST in 2014 were selected based on an analysis

Page 100 this table? 1 2 (By Mr. Netter) Correct. 0 3 Α Right here. I believe I completed it all at the same time, but just noted different reasons 4 5 for not including those funds. 6 Now, Miss Dominguez, you said that these 7 funds came from the CAPTRUST best in class lineup in 8 2014, right? 9 Α Yes. 10 The CAPTRUST actually had two best in 11 class lineups in 2014, didn't it? 12 Α Uh-huh, yes. 13 How did you determine only to look at the 14 TIAA lineup? 15 Α Because it was the one that I selected. 16 0 Okay. As between TIAA and Fidelity it was 17 an arbitrary selection? 18 Α Yes. 19 So you didn't compare funds within the 20 same asset class that were on the two menus, right? 21 There was a huge overlap between the two Α 22 menus. And I did not, I looked at the TIAA menu. 23 But to the extent that the funds did not 24 overlap, you didn't assess which fund was more

Page 126 MR. APKING: Object to the form. 1 2 I'm not certain if they changed it over Α 3 time. (By Mr. Netter) Now, when you evaluated 4 0 the TIAA real estate account, you didn't use that 5 6 same benchmark, did you? 7 When I evaluated it when? In conjunction with repairing your opinion 8 9 in this case? 10 I didn't evaluate, can you say that again? 11 Did you evaluate the performance of the 12 TIAA Real Estate Account in the course of preparing 13 your opinion in this case? 14 Yes, as 102 states, I looked at the TIAA 15 Real Estate relative to the benchmark that it 16 created and underperformed those for three and five 17 years. 18 And that's the only comparison you looked 19 at; is that right? 20 No, because in the next paragraph it says 21 the TIAA Real Estate Account ranked dead last in its 22 peer group for one, five and ten year periods. 23 And what would the peer group look like 2.4 for the TIAA Real Estate Account?

Page 127 I'm not certain. 1 Α 2 You don't know what funds were in the peer 0 3 group referenced in that March 2010 report? 4 Α No. 5 Did you prepare any reports on the real 6 estate account for Innovest in 2010? 7 I don't believe so, no. When you say you, let me broaden that. 8 9 Did Innovest prepare any reports on the TIAA Real 10 Estate Account in 2010? 11 Α I don't believe so. Did you go back to consult any historical 12 13 Innovest analysis when you were preparing this 14 report? 15 Α No. 16 In paragraph 108 of your report. 17 compare the TIAA Real Estate Account to the Vanguard REIT Index Fund Institutional Shares. 18 19 Do you see that? 20 Α Yes, I do. 21 Q There's also reference to VGNSX. What is 22 VGNSX? 23 Α The Vanguard REIT Index Fund. 2.4 Q Is it possible that's actually VGSNX.

Dominguez deposition transcript pages 140-141 filed under seal

Dominguez deposition transcript page 153 filed under seal

Dominguez deposition transcript pages 157-158 filed under seal

Dominguez deposition transcript pages 160-166 filed under seal

Dominguez deposition transcript pages 198-199 filed under seal

Page 207 1 this shows everything, but I know it has sheets in 2 Windows in the native format. 3 MR. NETTER: I assume so as well, but I will stipulate to the fact that this is just a 4 5 print-out and there might be something hiding in the 6 native format. 7 (By Mr. Netter) Miss Dominguez, the performance scoring methodology that you've used on 8 9 Exhibit 315, isn't the same as the methodology you 10 were describing earlier, right? 11 What methodology was I describing earlier? So earlier you stayed that your analysts 12 13 can with the approval of the investment committee adjust the score, the performance score for a 14 15 particular fund based on their qualitative review of 16 the fund, right? 17 Oualitative review and other information Α 18 like is listed here, looking at consistency of 19 performance and rolling three year performance. 20 But the methodology you employed in 21 Exhibit 315 is just a formula quantitive measure, 22 right? 23 Α Yes. 2.4 Q Now, Miss Dominguez, we discussed many of

	Page 212
1	A Okay.
2	Q Dated August 24th, 2018. I take it you
3	authored this report?
4	A Yes, I did.
5	Q You mention you had some assistance from
6	counsel?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Did you author the first draft of this
9	report?
10	MR. APKING: Object to the form, object to
11	work product.
12	A We work together.
13	Q (By Mr. Feldman) So you worked together
14	with counsel in putting together the first draft?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Now, you have 134 footnotes, at least as I
17	depict in this report, correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Now, do you agree with me that some of
20	your footnotes refer to text, for example, in
21	footnote four you refer to a text from Fiduciary
22	360?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And some of your footnotes refer to

Page 253 State of Missouri 1 2 SS. County of St. Louis 3 I, Randy R. Dunn, a Licensed Certified Court 4 5 Reporter by the Supreme Court in and for the State of Missouri, duly commissioned, qualified and 6 authorized to administer oaths and to certify to 7 depositions, do hereby certify that pursuant to 8 9 Notice in the civil cause now pending and undetermined in the City of St. Louis, State of 10 11 Missouri, to be used in the trial of said cause in 12 said court, I was attended at the offices of 13 Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, 100 South Fourth 14 Street, in the City of St. Louis, State of Missouri, 15 by the aforesaid attorneys; on the 16th day of October, 2018. 16 17 The said witness, being of sound mind and being 18 by me first carefully examined and duly cautioned 19 and sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and 20 nothing but the truth in the case aforesaid, 21 thereupon testified as is shown in the foregoing 22 transcript, said testimony being by me reported in shorthand and caused to be transcribed into 23 2.4 typewriting, and that the foregoing page correctly

Page 254 set forth the testimony of the aforementioned 1 2 witness, together with the questions propounded by 3 counsel and remarks and objections of counsel thereto, and is in all respects a full, true, 4 correct and complete transcript of the questions 5 6 propounded to and the answers given by said witness; 7 that signature of the deponent was not waived by 8 agreement of counsel. 9 I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either of the parties to said suit, not 10 related to nor interested in any of the parties or 11 12 their 13 14 15 Randy R. Dunn RPR, CRR, CCR No. 193 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 2.4